

SHORT COMMUNICATION

NEMATODES CYATHOSTOMINAE PARASITES OF *EQUUS CABALLUS* IN THE STATE OF MINAS GERAIS, BRAZIL.

R.O. CARVALHO¹, A.V.M. SILVA², H.A. SANTOS¹ & H.M.A. COSTA¹

(1) Departamento de Parasitologia – ICB, UFMG. Av. Antônio Carlos, 6627 Pampulha. CEP 31270- 901 Belo Horizonte, MG – Brasil;

(2) Universidade de Alfenas, MG - Brasil.

SUMMARY: Fourteen equines from the state of Minas Gerais were necropsied to collect small strongyles. Non-measured samples were collected from the mixed contents of the large intestine, after being previously homogenized. The samples were preserved using hot formalin / 10 %. The helminths found in each sample were screened and transferred to small bottles with AFA and identified, each specimen being clarified with Aman's Lactophenol and examined under the microscope. Nineteen species were diagnosed, listed according to their respective prevalence and relative abundance: *Cylicocyclus nassatus* (92.86% and 7.94%), *C. insigne* (50% and 4.37%), *C. brevicapsulatus* (21.43% and 2.84%), *C. radiatus* (42.86% and 4.78%), *C. ultrajectinus* (28.57% and 3.49%) and *C. leptostomus* (100% and 43%), *Cylicodontophorus bicoronatus* (42.86% and 3.98%), *C. euproctus* (50% and 4.22%); *Gyalocephalus* sp. (64.28% and 3.51%); *Cylicostephanus goldi* (100% and 6.77%), *C. calicatus* (100% and 6.33%), *C. minutus* (100% and 6.61%), *C. longibursatus* (100% and 8.02%) and *C. poculatus* (14.28% and 3.79%); *Cyathostomum coronatum* (50% and 5.81%), *C. labiatum* (85.71% and 5.74%), *C. labratum* (28.57 % and 2.70%), *C. catinatum* (100% and 7.11%) and *C. pateratum* (85.71 % and 5.56%).

KEY WORDS: *Cyathostominae*, *Cyathostomum*, *Cylicodontophorus*, *Cylicocyclus*, *Cylicostephanus*, *Gyalocephalus*, *Equus caballus*, Equine.

About 25 species of Cyathostominae in equines of Brazil have already been reported, mainly in the states of Rio Grande do Sul, Paraná, São Paulo and Rio de Janeiro (COSTA *et alii*, 1986; LANFREDI & HONER, 1984). Recently, BARBOSA (1995), investigating small strongyles in equines from Jaboticabal, São Paulo, has identified 22 species. In Minas Gerais, however, only *Gyalocephalus capitatus* and *Poteriostomum ratzzi* (CARVALHO, 1940) are reported.

The idea of doing a research on Cyathostominae in equines is due to the fact that there is little information about this subject. In Brazil, OLIVEIRA *et alii* (1940) and SILVA *et alii* (1995) carried out studies about Cyathostominae in asinines and mules.

Fourteen equines from Minas Gerais were necropsied to investigate small strongyles. Unmeasured samples were collected from mixed contents of the large intestine, after being previously homogenized. Immediately after being collected,

the samples were preserved using hot formalin / 10 %, and stored. The samples were then transported to the lab, where nematodes were screened and stored in small bottles with AFA, with identification labels. Before being examined under the microscope, the nematodes were clarified with Aman's Lactophenol. 18.958 specimens were examined. Each species was analysed so as to determine prevalence, relative abundance and male/female ratio. To calculate the relative abundance in nematodes, logarithms of the percentage plus one ($\log(x+1)$) were used.

Nineteen species of Cyathostominae were identified according to POPOVA (1965), LICHTENFELS (1975) and LANFREDI & HONER (1984): six species of *Cylicocyclus*, two of *Cylicodontophorus*, five of *Cylicostephanus*, five of *Cyathostomum* and *Gyalocephalus* sp.

The prevalence of the five genera identified are shown

in Table 1. Tables 2, 3, 4 and 5 present, respectively, prevalences, relative abundances in the genera, and Male / Female ratios of *Cyathostomum*, *Cylicocyclus*, *Cylicostephanus* and *Cylicodontophorus* and *Gyalocephalus*. A general consideration of the relative abundances of all Cyathostominae species, previously identified, is shown in the Table 6. Table 7 shows infections composition.

Table 1 - Cyathostominae parasites of equines from the State of Minas Gerais (Brazil): Prevalence and Relative Abundance of the genera.

GENERA	PREVALENCE (%)	RELATIVE ABUNDANCE (%)
<i>Cylicostephanus</i>	100.00	26.14
<i>Cyathostomum</i>	100.00	23.35
<i>Cylicocyclus</i>	100.00	25.11
<i>Cylicodontophorus</i>	64.29	14.60
<i>Gyalocephalus</i>	64.29	10.79

Table 2 - Cyathostominae parasites of equines from the State of Minas Gerais (Brazil): Prevalences, Relative Abundances and Male / Female Ratios of the species of *Cyathostomum*.

SPECIES	PREVALENCE (%)	RELATIVE ABUNDANCE (%)	MALE / FEMALE RATIOS
<i>C. catinatum</i>	100.00	26.40	1: 1.55
<i>C. labiatum</i>	85.71	21.59	1: 1.63
<i>C. pateratum</i>	85.71	21.33	1: 2.60
<i>C. coronatum</i>	50.00	20.67	1: 1.31
<i>C. labratum</i>	28.57	10.01	1: 1.43

Table 3 - Cyathostominae parasites of equines from the State of Minas Gerais (Brazil): Prevalence, Relative Abundance and Male / Female Ratios of the species of *Cylicocyclus*.

SPECIES	PREVALENCE (%)	RELATIVE ABUNDANCE (%)	MALE / FEMALE RATIOS
<i>C. leptostomus</i>	100.00	26.61	1: 1.41
<i>C. nassatus</i>	92.86	21.54	1: 1.07
<i>C. insigne</i>	50.00	16.03	1: 2.57
<i>C. radiatus</i>	42.86	14.63	1: 2.05
<i>C. ultrajectinus</i>	28.57	11.68	1: 4.86
<i>C. brevicapsulatus</i>	21.43	9.51	1: 2.33

Table 4 - Cyathostominae parasites of equines from the State of Minas Gerais (Brazil): Prevalence, Relative abundance and Male / Female Ratios of the species of *Cylicostephanus*.

SPECIES	PREVALENCE (%)	RELATIVE ABUNDANCE (%)	MALE / FEMALE RATIOS
<i>C. goldi</i>	100.00	21.48	1: 1.48
<i>C. calicatus</i>	100.00	20.08	1: 1.81
<i>C. minutus</i>	100.00	20.98	1: 1.71
<i>C. longibursatus</i>	100.00	25.45	1: 1.76
<i>C. poculatus</i>	14.28	12.01	1: 0.50

Table 5 - Cyathostominae parasites of equines from the State of Minas Gerais (Brazil): Prevalence, Relative Abundance and Male / Female Ratios of the species of *Cylicodontophorus* and *Gyalocephalus*.

SPECIES	PREVALENCE (%)	RELATIVE ABUNDANCE (%)	MALE / FEMALE RATIOS
<i>C. euproctus</i>	50.00	48.53	1: 1.53
<i>C. bicoronatus</i>	42.86	51.47	1: 2.50
<i>Gyalocephalus</i> sp.	64.28	-	1: 1.62

Table 6 - Cyathostominae parasites of equines from the State of Minas Gerais (Brazil): Relative Abundance of the species (Summary Table).

SPECIES	RELATIVE ABUNDANCE (%)
<i>Cylicostephanus longibursatus</i>	8.02
<i>Cylicocyclus nassatus</i>	7.94
<i>Cyathostomum catinatum</i>	7.11
<i>Cylicostephanus goldi</i>	6.77
<i>C. minutus</i>	6.61
<i>Cylicocyclus leptostomus</i>	6.43
<i>Cylicostephanus calicatus</i>	6.33
<i>Cyathostomum labiatum</i>	5.74
<i>C. pateratum</i>	5.56
<i>C. coronatum</i>	5.51
<i>Cylicocyclus radiatus</i>	4.78
<i>C. insigne</i>	4.37
<i>Cylicodontophorus euproctus</i>	4.22
<i>C. bicoronatus</i>	3.98
<i>Cylicostephanus poculatus</i>	3.7
<i>Gyalocephalus</i> sp.	3.51
<i>Cylicocyclus ultrajectinus</i>	3.49
<i>C. brevicapsulatus</i>	2.84
<i>Cyathostomum labratum</i>	2.70

Table 7 - Cyathostominae parasites of equines from the State of Minas Gerais (Brazil): Participation of the species in the infections.

SPECIES	NUMBER OF SPECIES															
	9	10	10	11	12	12	12	13	13	14	14	14	16	16	16	16
<i>Cylicostephanus goldi</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>C. longibursatus</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>C. calicatus</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>C. minutus</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>C. poculatus</i>										+						
<i>Cylicocyclus radiatus</i>										+			+	+	+	+
<i>C. nassatus</i>	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>C. ultrajectinus</i>					+					+	+					+
<i>C. insigne</i>						+				+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>C. leptostomus</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>C. brevicapsulatus</i>						+									+	+
<i>Cyathostomum coronatum</i>					+	+	+			+	+			+	+	+
<i>C. labiatum</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>C. catinatum</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>C. labratum</i>				+						+				+	+	
<i>C. pateratum</i>	+	+	+	+		+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Cylicodontophorus euproctus</i>	+		+					+	+	+			+			+
<i>C. bicoronatus</i>					+				+	+			+		+	+
<i>Gyalocephalus</i> sp.	+		+	+	+	+	+	+					+	+	+	+

Nineteen species were identified and 18 of them were reported for the first time in Minas Gerais, although already known in Brazil. All equines were parasitized by small strongyles. The number of species per animal submitted to necropsy varied from 9 to 16 (1x9, 2x10, 1x11, 3x12, 2x13, 3x14 and 2x16). *Cylicostephanus goldi*, *C. longibursatus*, *C. calicatus*, *C. minutus*, *Cylicocyclus leptostomus* and *Cyathostomum catinatum* were the most common, being present in all equines. In contrast, the least common were *Cylicostephanus poculatus* and *Cylicocyclus brevicapsulatus*, which were found in two and three necropsies, respectively.

BARBOSA (1995) found only *Cylicostephanus longibursatus* and *C. goldi* in 100% of equines followed by *Gyalocephalus* (71,43%). OGBOURNE (1976) found as the most prevalent: *Cylicostephanus longibursatus* (100%), followed by *Cyathostomum catinatum*, *Cylicostephanus goldi* and *Cylicocyclus nassatus*. For REYNEMEYER *et alii* (1984), the most prevalent were: *Cyathostomum catinatum*, *Cylicocyclus nassatus*, *Cylicostephanus longibursatus*, *Cyathostomum coronatum* and *Cylicostephanus goldi*. MFILODZE & HUTCHINSON (1990), found as the most prevalent: *Cyathostomum catinatum* (76%), *C. calicatus* (70%), *Cylicostephanus longibursatus* and *Cylicocyclus nassatus* (67 %). And to LOVE & DUNCAN (1992), studying ponies in Glasgow found the most prevalent species were: *Cyathostomum catinatum* (92.59%), *Cylicostephanus longibursatus* (77.78%), *Cylicocyclus nassatus* and *Cyathostomum coronatum* (66.67 %).

Cylicostephanus, *Cylicocyclus* and *Cyathostomum* were the most prevalent genera (100 %) and they presenting the highest participation in infection (relative abundance), 26.14 , 25.11 , and 23.35 % respectively. The species with the highest participation were : *Cylicostephanus longibursatus* (8.02%), *Cylicocyclus nassatus* (7.94%) and *Cyathostomum catinatum* (7.11%); the first and the third showed the highest prevalence (100%), while the second presented lower prevalence (92.86%). For OGBOURNE (1976), the most abundant species were: *Cylicostephanus longibursatus*, *Cyathostomum catinatum*, *Cylicocyclus nassatus* and *Cylicostephanus minutus*. For REINEMEYER *et alii* (1984) the most abundant species were: *Cylicocyclus nassatus*, *Cylicostephanus longibursatus*, *Cylicocyclus leptostomus*, *Cyathostomum catinatum* and *Cylicostephanus goldi*. For MFILODZE & HUTCHINSON (1990) the most abundant species were: *Cylicocyclus nassatus*, *Cylicostephanus longibursatus* and *Cyathostomum catinatum*.

Comparing these data with those obtained by SILVA *et alii* (1995), for mules, it can be seen that in those hosts the most abundant species are: *Cylicostephanus minutus* (27.7%), *Cylicocyclus nassatus* (18.3%) and *Cyathostomum catinatum* (17.3%). In these it is interesting to see that in equines, the relative abundance presents a uniform distribution, whereas in mules the relative abundance shows a non-uniform distribution, presenting a high number for the first four species and, relatively, very low for the other species.

The analysis of Male / Female ratios shows that females, generally, participate in infections more than the males do. The same occurs with Cyathostominae from asinines and mules (OLIVEIRA *et alii*, 1994 and SILVA *et alii*, 1995).

SUMÁRIO

Quatorze equinos provenientes do estado de Minas Gerais foram necropsiados para a coleta de pequenos estrôngilos. Aliquotas variáveis foram tomadas dos conteúdos do intestino grosso após homogeneização. As amostras foram preservadas com formol quente a 10 %. Os helmintos encontrados em cada amostra foram separados e transferidos para pequenas garrafas contendo AFA e identificados, sendo que cada espécime foi clarificado com lactofenol de Aman e examinado ao microscópio. Dezenove espécies foram diagnosticadas, e listadas de acordo com suas respectivas prevalências e relativa abundância: *Cylicocyclus nassatus* (92, 86% e 7,94%), *C. insigne* (50% e 4,37%), *C. brevicapsulatus* (21,43% e 2,84%), *C. radiatus* (42,86% e 4,78%), *C. ultrajectinus* (28,57% e 3,49%) e *C. leptostomus* (100% e 43%), *Cylicodontophorus bicoronatus* (42,86% e 3,98%), *C. euproctus* (50% e 4,22%); *Gyalocephalus* sp. (64,28% e 3,51%); *Cylicostephanus goldi* (100% and 6,77%), *C. calicatus* (100% and 6,33%), *C. minutus* (100% e 6,61%), *C. longibursatus* (100% e 8,02%) e *C. poculatus* (14,28% e 3,79%); *Cyathostomum coronatum* (50% e 5,81%), *C. labiatum* (85,71% e 5,74%), *C. labratum* (28,57 % e 2,70%), *C. catinatum* (100% and 7,11%) e *C. pateratum* (85,71 % e 5,56%).

PALAVRAS-CHAVE: *Cyathostominae*, *Cyathostomum*, *Cylicodontophorus*, *Cylicocyclus*, *Cylicostephanus*, *Gyalocephalus*, *Equus caballus*, Equinos.

REFERENCES

- BARBOSA, F. (1995). Estudo dos Ciatostomíneos (Strongylidae, Cyathostominae) Parasitos de Equinos (*Equus caballus*) da Região de Jaboticabal, São Paulo – Brasil. Dissertação de Mestrado, Faculdade de Ciências Agrárias e Veterinárias, Campus de Jaboticabal – UNESP. 57p.
- CARVALHO, J.C.M. (1940) Contribuição para o conhecimento da fauna helmintológica de Minas Gerais. *Ceres*, Viçosa, 1:411 – 423.
- COSTA, H.M.A.; LEITE, A.C.R.; GUIMARÃES, M.P. & LIMA, W.S. (1986). Distribuição de Helmintos Parasitos de Animais Domésticos do Brasil. *Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia*, 38 : 465 – 479.
- LANFREDI, R.M. & HONER, M. (1984) Uma chave ilustrada para a identificação dos gêneros e espécies de pequenos estrôngilídeos (sub-família Cyathostominae: Nematoda) em cavalos da Baixada Fluminense. *Pesquisa Veterinária Brasileira*, 4: 67 – 72.

- LICHTENFELS, J.R. (1975) Helminths of Domestic Equids. *Proceedings of the Helminthological Society of Washington*, 42 (Special Issue): 92 pp.
- LOVE, S. & DUNCAN, G.L. (1992) The development of naturally acquired cyathostome infection in ponies. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 44: 127 – 142.
- MIFTILODZE, M.W. & HUTCHINSON, G.W. (1990) Prevalence and abundance of equine strongyles (Nematoda: Strongyloidea) in Tropical Austrália. *Journal of Parasitology*, 76: 487 – 494.
- OLIVEIRA, C.L.; SILVA, A.V.M.; SANTOS, H.A. & COSTA, H.M.A. (1994) Cyathostominae parasite of *Equus asinus* L. in some Brazilian States. *Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia*, v 46: 51 – 63.
- OUGBOURNE, C.P. (1976). The prevalence, realative abundance and site distribution of Nematodes of subfamily Cyathostominae in horses killed in Britain. *Journal of Helminthology*, 50: 203 – 214.
- POPOVA, T.I. (1958) Strongyloids of animals and man. Trichonematidae. Academy of Sciences of the U.S.S.R. Helmintological Laboratory. Essentials of Nematodology, Volume VII – Israel Program for Scientific Translatios. Jerusalem, 1965. 414 pp.
- REINEMEYER, C.R.; SMITH, S.A.; GABEL, A.A. & Herd, R.P. (1984) The prevalence and intensity of internal parasites of horses in the U.S.A. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 15: 75 – 83.
- SILVA, A.V.M.; SANTOS, H.A. & COSTA, H.M.A. (1995). Cyathostominae (Nematoda, Strongylida) encontrados en mulas de algunos estados de Brasil. *Revista de Medicina Veterinária*, Buenos Aires, 76: 124 – 130.

(Received 26 August 1997, Accepted 02 April 1998)